

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/044,609		01/11/2002	Lance FitzGerald	0308.65666	9485
24978	7590	03/23/2004		EXAM	INER
GREER, B	URNS &	CRAIN	CINTINS, IVARS C		
	300 S WACKER DR				PAPER NUMBER
25TH FLOC CHICAGO,		06		1724	

DATE MAILED: 03/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		5/				
-	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/044,609	FITZGERALD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ivars C. Cintins	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of third will apply and will expire StX (6) MON three cause the application to become Af	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22						
<u> </u>	his action is non-final.	ture resistant on to the marries in				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.L	J. 11, 455 O.G. 215.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,5 and 7-22</u> is/are pending in						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	Irawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 2, 4, 5 and 7-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam		•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docum		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the provided in						
application from the International But		Ü				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		t received.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	D Na	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) [] Nation of	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/044,609

Art Unit: 1724

Claim 4 is objected to because the term "is data is" (lines 2-3) does not appear to be grammatically correct. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor, at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The limitation that regeneration is initiated when both the first and second conditions are met "without regard to time" (claim 1, line 5; claim 8, line 13; and claim 15, line 9) does not appear to be supported by the disclosure originally filed, and hence constitutes **new matter**. While it is true that regeneration can be initiated without regard to time of day (see page 14, line 2 of the specification), time does appear to be a factor in determining when to initiate regeneration even when both the first and second conditions are met. For example, when the controller determines that the resin is saturated it begins to monitor the current water usage, and if water demand exceeds a predetermined flow rate, the controller waits a prescribed time period (e.g. 15 minutes) before again checking to determine the water demand. Therefore, if the water demand drops to below the predetermined flow rate during this 15 minute interval, the controller will not initiate regeneration immediately, but will wait until the remainder of this time interval is over before determining that the second condition has also been met, and will then initiate regeneration (see page 18, line 8 through page 19, line 6). Applicant is

Application/Control Number: 10/044,609

Art Unit: 1724

advised that an amendment inserting "of day" after "time" in the above noted locations would overcome this portion of the rejection.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicant regards as the invention. The recitation that regeneration is initiated when both the first and second conditions are met "without regard to time" is deemed to be misdescriptive, as explained above. Again, Applicant is advised that an amendment inserting "of day" after "time" in the above noted locations would overcome this portion of the rejection.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5 and 7-22 would be allowed if rewritten or amended to overcome the above rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 112.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Application/Control Number: 10/044,609

Art Unit: 1724

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to I. Cintins whose telephone number is (571) 272-1155. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Blaine Copenheaver, can be reached at (571) 272-1156.

The centralized facsimile number for the USPTO is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ivars C. Cintins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1724

I. Cintins March 21, 2004